```
1
   KENNER LAW FIRM, P.C.
   David E. Kenner, SBN 41425
   Brett A. Greenfield, SBN 217343
   16000 Ventura Boulevard, PH 1208
   Encino, CA 91364
   818 995 1195
   818 475 5369 - fax
4
5
   WADE, KELLY & SULLIVAN
   733 W. 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 200
   Anchorage, Alaska 99501
   (907) 561-7743
7
   (907) 562-8977 - fax
8
   Attorney for Defendant Josef F. Boehm
9
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                             DISTRICT OF ALASKA
11
12
   Sally C. Purser,
                                     ) RESPONSE TO THE OPPOSITION OF
13
                                     ) BOEHM'S REQUEST TO FILE THIRD
             Plaintiff,
                                     ) PARTY COMPLAINTS
14
              v.
15
   Josef F. Boehm, Allen K.
   Bolling, and Bambi Tyree,
16
             Defendants.
17
18
                                       CASE NO.: A05-0085 (JKS)
19
        THE CONCLUSORY STATEMENTS OF SALLEY PURSER THAT SHE WILL
   I.
20
        SUFFER PREJUDICE ARE NOT SUFFICIENT TO OVERCOME BOEHM'S
21
        REQUEST TO FILE-THIRD PARTY COMPLAINTS.
22
        Salley Purser, by and through her counsel Darryl Jones,
23
   opposed Defendant Boehm's request to file third party complaints.
24
   However, Jones does not provide this court with a single reason why
25
   Salley Purser will be prejudiced. Instead, Jones personally
26
   attacked defendant's counsel in order to avoid the real argument.
27
28
                                      1
```

Jones is correct when he states that the court should consider "(1) whether the movant deliberately delayed or was derelict in filing the motion; (2) whether impleading would delay or unduly complicate the trial; (3) whether impleading would prejudice the third-party defendant; and (4) whether the proposed third-party complaint states a claim upon which relief can be granted."

Plaintiff's Opposition, Page 3. However, Jones fails to analyze any of the factors and merely concludes that his client's prejudice outweighs Boehm's need to add third-party defendants.

Boehm's counsel filed the third party complaints as soon as they were able to complete additional needed discovery. The third-party complaints will not cause undue delay or complication because the people named in the third-party complaint must be deposed and issued appropriate discovery requests even if they are not named as defendants in this case. Finally, there are no facts indicating that there would be any undue prejudice to the named third-party defendants.

II. RECENTLY DISCOVERED INFORMATION HAS MADE IT APPROPRIATE TO FILE THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINTS.

Boehm's counsel has only recently been able to take the depositions of Salley Purser, Erin Axt, and Deborah Axt. These depositions revealed that others are responsible for the alleged damages of Salley Purser. It is true that these depositions should have been completed towards the beginning of the case, but Boehm's first attorneys did little in the way of trial preparation and

discovery. Boehm replaced those attorneys and retained new counsel.

As stated on numerous occasions, Boehm's current counsel has tried diligently to catch up and prepare this case for trial. As more discovery was acquired it became clear that others were responsible for Salley Purser's alleged damages. Indeed, it was Salley Purser herself that told Boehm's counsel that she had been abused sexually, mentally and physically by others in her life. She told counsel that she was given crack cocaine by her mother, Kathleen Purser. She told counsel that she had sex with multiple men at very young ages. In a large part, it was plaintiff's own testimony that made it clear that others were responsible for her alleged damages.

III. CONCLUSION

Defendant Boehm has provided this court with a reasonable explanation for his delay in filing the third party complaints and has shown that there will be little prejudice to the plaintiff.

Defendant Boehm respectfully requests that this court allow the defendant to file third-party complaints.

DATED this 11th day of May, 2007 at Encino, California.

KENNER LAW FIRM

By:____/s/___ David E. Kenner